

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

NICOLA MEASE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

DCS FINANCIAL, INC.,

Defendant.

NO. 3:24-cv-05257

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF 15
U.S.C. § 1692 ET SEQ. AND RCW
CHAPTERS 19.16 AND 19.86 ET SEQ.

COMES NOW Plaintiff Nicola Mease, by and through counsel, who alleges:

I. PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

1. Plaintiff Nicola Mease is an individual who resides in Washington State.

2. DCS Financial, Inc. (“DCS”), a Washington Corporation, WA UBI No. 602197203, is a debt collector and collection agency doing business in Washington, and who attempted to collect an alleged debt from the Plaintiff. DCS’ registered agent is Robin Adams, located at 717 E. 22nd St. Suite A, Vancouver, WA 98666.

3. DCS is a licensed Collection Agency in Washington State.

4. Jurisdiction over Defendant is proper as Defendant is doing business in Washington State.

II. FACTS

Background

5. On July 26, 2013, DCS obtained a default judgment against Nicola Mease (Plaintiff herein), for alleged medical bills that were incurred between 2010 and 2012. A copy of the Default Judgment is attached as **Exhibit A**.

6. The judgment was entered in the amount of \$21,949.69 which included interest and costs. Over the next decade, DCS garnished Ms. Mease's wages and bank accounts, and occasionally extracted payments from her.

7. DCS would send Ms. Mease regular collection letters, reminding her that a balance was owed. Most recently, DCS sent a collection letter on October 31, 2023. *See* October 31, 2023 collection letter attached as **Exhibit B**.

8. According to DCS' letter, Ms. Mease now owed \$31,134.62, which was comprised of \$15,520.63 in "principle" [sic] (\$3,327.56 in "agency interest," and \$12,286.43 in "legal costs." *Id.*

9. The letter claimed that the "principle" [sic] was originally \$18,337.63 and reduced by \$2,817.00 through payments. Similarly, DCS claimed that the "legal costs" were originally \$14,864.04 and reduced by \$2,577.61 through payments.

10. Bizarrely, the letter claimed that the legal costs were assessed on May 8, 2013, through Clark County Superior Court Case No. 23-2-01134-06. However, no such legal costs were ever assessed in 2013, or at any other point in time.

11. Moreover, the Clark County Superior Court case was not in existence until May 2023, when DCS transcribed the judgment to superior court from Clark County District Court.

1 A copy of the transcript of judgment is attached as **Exhibit C**. No such legal costs were
2 assessed.

3 **DCS' Judgment Expired on July 25, 2023**

4 12. A judgment may be enforced for ten years after entry unless it is extended. RCW
5 6.17.020.

6 13. DCS never extended its judgment, and since it was originally entered on July 26,
7 2013, the judgment was no longer enforceable after July 25, 2023.

8 14. Despite the expiration of the judgment, DCS sent a letter on October 31, 2023
9 demanding payment and referencing the Clark County Superior Court case as a basis for the
10 debt.

11 15. On information and belief, DCS had previously and periodically sent similar
12 letters to Ms. Mease, including at times after the judgment had expired.

13 16. Since the expiration of the judgment, Ms. Mease contacted DCS by telephone.
14 When speaking with representatives of DCS, Ms. Mease, who is untrained in the law, was never
15 disabused of the notion that DCS had a valid and enforceable judgment against her.

16 17. On information and belief, when speaking with DCS, Ms. Mease was told that the
17 judgment had been extended.

18 18. Ms. Mease made payments on the judgment upon reliance on DCS' assertions
19 that it still had a valid and enforceable judgment.

20 19. As a result of the Defendant's actions detailed above, Plaintiff has incurred
21 expenses in seeking and retaining counsel in connection with ascertaining her legal rights and
22 responsibilities, has made payments on an unenforceable judgment (induced by Defendants'
23 actions and statements), and has been repeatedly harassed by DCS on a debt that she is under no

obligation to pay. She has suffered financial uncertainty, unease, and distress caused by DCS' attempts to collect money.

III. CAUSES OF ACTION

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

20. With respect to the alleged debt, Plaintiff is a consumer as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and Defendant is a debt collector as defined by 15.S.C. § 1692a(6).

21. With respect to the alleged debt, Plaintiff is a “debtor” as defined by RCW 19.16.100(8) and Defendant is a collection agency as defined by RCW 19.16.100(4).

22. For claims arising under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, such claims are assessed using the “least sophisticated debtor” standard. *Guerrero v. RJM Acquisitions LLC*, 499 F.3d 926, 934 (9th Cir. 2007).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO CPA CLAIMS

23. Violations of RCW 19.16.250 are per se violations of the Consumer Protection Act (“CPA”), RCW chapter 19.86.¹ See RCW 19.16.440. RCW 19.86.090 provides for treble damages (to a limit of \$25,000) and attorney’s fees.

24. Because RCW Chapter 19.16 is enforced through RCW 19.86 *et seq.*, the below counts alleging violations of RCW Chapter 19.16 are therefore CPA violations.

25. Even minimal or nominal damages constitute “injury” under the CPA. *Panag*, 166 Wn.2d at 57. A plaintiff need not prove any monetary damages at all, as even “unquantifiable damages” may suffice to establish “injury” for purposes of the CPA. *Id.* (citing *Nordstrom, Inc. v. Tampourlos*, 107 Wn.2d 735, 740 (1987)).

¹ See *Panag v. Farmers Ins. Co. of Wash.*, 166 Wn.2d 27, 53 (2009) (“Consumer debt collection is a highly regulated field. When a violation of debt collection regulations occurs, it constitutes a per se violation of the CPA...”).

Count 1

26. A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. Additionally, it is a violation to falsely represent the character, amount, or legal status of any debt. § 1692e(2).

27. In addition, a debt collector violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) if it “use[s] ... any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.” *Riggs v. Prober & Raphael*, 681 F.3d 1097, 1104 (9th Cir. 2012).

28. Here, DCS made numerous misrepresentations, including:

- a. Stating, via multiple collection letters, that Ms. Mease owed over \$14,000.00 in legal costs that were never awarded or owed.
- b. Applied payments to “legal costs” that were not owed, rather than applying them to amounts that were actually owed.
- c. Represented that money was owed based on a judgment that was no longer valid or enforceable.
- d. Took payments using an unenforceable judgment as leverage, never clarifying that the judgment had expired.
- e. Represented that the judgment had been extended when in fact it had not been.

29. Defendant therefore violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e and/or § 1692e(2), e(5), and/or e(10) with each collection attempt.

Count 2

30. A debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f. The collection of any amount (including any interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) unless such amount is expressly

1 authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law is unfair and/or
2 unconscionable. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1).

3 31. Plaintiff realleges the factual allegations of Count 1, *supra*.

4 32. Therefore, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f on numerous occasions.

5 **Count 3**

6 33. RCW 19.16.250(21) prohibits attempts to collect amounts in excess of the
7 principal other than allowable interest, collection costs or handling fees expressly authorized by
8 statute.

9 34. Here, DCS represented that Ms. Mease owed over \$14,000.00 in legal costs, when
10 no such costs were ever awarded.

11 35. Defendant therefore violated RCW 19.16.250(21) on numerous occasions.

12 **Count 4 – CPA Violations**

13 36. The Washington Supreme Court has held that a violation of debt collection status,
14 including the FDCPA, serves as a predicate for a per se Consumer Protection Act Violation as a
15 matter of law. *Panag v. Farmers Ins. Co. of Wash.*, 166 Wn.2d 27, 53 (2009); *Hoffman v.*
16 *Transworld Sys., Inc.*, 806 F.App'x 549 (9th Cir. 2020).

17 37. The FDCPA violations identified *supra* also constitute per se violations of the
18 Washington CPA.

19 38. Plaintiff's injuries are stated in paragraph 19, *supra*.

20 39. Thus, Defendant violated the CPA.

21 **Request for Injunctive Relief**

22 40. A plaintiff may seek injunctive relief for violations of the Consumer Protection
23 Act. RCW 19.86.090.

1 4. For treble damages, pursuant to RCW 19.86.090, calculated from the damages
2 determined by the court.

3 5. For costs and reasonable attorney's fees as determined by the Court pursuant to
4 15 U.S.C. 1692k(a)(3).

5 6. For injunctive relief pursuant to RCW 19.86.090 as described above.

6
7 Respectfully submitted this 4th day of April, 2024.

8
9 **ANDERSON SANTIAGO, PLLC**


10
11 By: 
12 Jason D. Anderson, WSBA No. 38014
13 T. Tyler Santiago, WSBA No. 46004
14 Attorneys for Plaintiff
207B Sunset Blvd. N.
Renton, WA 98057
(206) 395-2665
(206) 395-2719 (fax)

EXHIBIT A

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLARK COUNTY
 IN THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

DCS FINANCIAL, INC., DBA)	
DIVERSIFIED CREDITORS SERVICE))	Case #13C3074-2
)	
Plaintiff/s))	
v.s.))	
)	
MEASE, NICOLA)	ORDER OF DEFAULT
MEASE, JOHN DOE)	AND JUDGMENT
WIFE AND HUSBAND)	
Defendant/s))	

JUDGMENT SUMMARY

The following is recited to be in compliance with RCW 4.64.030:

1. Judgment Creditor: DCS FINANCIAL, INC., DBA
DIVERSIFIED CREDITORS SERVICE
2. Judgment Debtor: MEASE, NICOLA
MEASE, JOHN DOE
WIFE AND HUSBAND
3. Principal Judgment Amount: \$18337.63
4. Interest to Date of Judgment: \$3327.56
5. Attorney Fees: \$ 200.00
6. Costs: a. Filing Fees: \$103.00
b. Service Fees: \$31.50
7. Handling and Collection Costs & Damages: \$ 0.00
8. Less Payments of: \$ 50.00
9. Principal judgment shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum.
10. Attorney for
Judgment Creditor: Randal Fritzler
HOUSE COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF
717 E 22nd St., Suite A
Vancouver, WA 98663

11. Attorney for Judgment Debtor:

ORDER

THIS MATTER coming on for Order of Default and Judgment on the application of Plaintiff, proof having been made that the Summons was issued requiring the Defendants to appear and defend this action prior to this date and that said Summons, together with a true copy of the Complaint, was duly and timely served upon the Defendant within the time and in the manner and form as required, said Defendants being in default herein, and it appearing that the above entitled county is the proper venue for this action and that the Court has jurisdiction of the parties; and said action being an action for money only, the Court hereby enters its order as follows:

THAT JUDGMENT is entered against the Defendants, as follows:

1. Principal Amounts: \$18337.63
2. Interest to date of Judgment: \$3327.56
3. Attorney Fees: \$ 200.00
4. Costs: Filing Fees: \$103.00
Service Fees: \$31.50
5. Handling and Collection Costs & Damages: \$ 0.00
6. Less Payments of: \$ 50.00

For a total accumulation of \$21949.69

THAT JUDGMENT SHALL FURTHER bear interest at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum for the date hereof, until paid and satisfied by the Defendants.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20____.

Digitally signed by user
'zimmermd'

Reason:

Date: 07/26/2013

02:00:13 PM

J U D G E


Randal Fritzler
WSBA 6093
HOUSE COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

EXHIBIT B

DCS FINANCIAL, INC.
717 EAST 22ND STREET
VANCOUVER, WA 98663
360 992-4100 / 800 945-3327

Principle
Agency Interest
Legal Costs

Charges	Payments	Balance
18337.63	2817.00	15520.63
3327.56	0.00	3327.56
14864.04	2577.61	12286.43
<u>\$36529.23</u>	<u>\$5394.61</u>	<u>\$31134.62</u>

ACCOUNT LIST

10-31-2023 09:58AM 2 JBH JBH

P1027034 D64 LJD

AMOUNT YOU OWE

NICOLA MEASE
16416 NE 82ND ST
VANCOUVER WA 98682

The accounts listed below are on file with DCS Financial, Inc.

This communication is from a professional debt collector.

Open Accounts

Acct No	Date	Description / Reference#	Current APR
		Principle Client Interest Client Other Agency Interest Costs Payments Balance	
1347502	11-24-2012	PEACEHEALTH SW MEDICA, VANCOUVER WA #1232902492	12.00% LJD
		200.00 0.00 0.00 2.90 0.00 0.00	\$202.90
1328933	07-02-2012	PEACEHEALTH SW MEDICA, VANCOUVER WA #1218405593	12.00% LJD
		558.00 0.00 0.00 39.79 0.00 0.00	\$597.79
1303969	11-29-2011	PEACEHEALTH SW MEDICA, VANCOUVER WA #1133300101	12.00% LJD
		1864.90 0.00 0.00 275.91 0.00 0.00	\$2140.81
1303963	11-09-2011	PEACEHEALTH SW MEDICA, VANCOUVER WA #1131302232	12.00% LJD
		1597.00 0.00 0.00 236.37 0.00 0.00	\$1833.37
1286782	05-17-2011	PEACEHEALTH SW MEDICA, VANCOUVER WA #1113606832	12.00% LJD
		7204.35 0.00 0.00 1413.98 0.00 0.00	\$8618.33
1286780	05-14-2011	PEACEHEALTH SW MEDICA, VANCOUVER WA #1113400178	12.00% LJD
		6839.20 0.00 0.00 1342.34 0.00 2742.82	\$5438.72
1276965	11-02-2010	SWMC OUTPATIENT LABO, BURLINGTON NC #W700143118	12.00% LJD
		74.18 0.00 0.00 16.27 0.00 74.18	\$16.27
L794784	05-08-2013	LEGAL: SC CLARK WA #23-2-01134-06	12.00% LJD
		14864.04 2577.61	\$12286.43

EXHIBIT C

FILED

MAY 10 2023

Scott G. Weber, Clerk, Clark Co.

DISTRICT COURT OF CLARK COUNTY
IN AND FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

DCS FINANCIAL DBA DIVERSIFIED CREDITORS SVC

Plaintiff,

vs.

NICOLA MEASE

Defendant,

Case No. 13C3074-2

TRANSCRIPT OF JUDGMENT

23 2 01134 06

I hereby certify that, attached hereto, is a true and correct Transcript of Judgment
for the above entitled action.

Dated: 05/10/2023

By: A. Beirna

District Court Clerk



DD7010SX ADB
05/10/2023 8:11 AM

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
D O C K E T

PAGE: 1

CASE: 13C3074-2
Civil

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER
PLA 01 DCS FINANCIAL INCORPORATED
P.O. BOX 1179
VANCOUVER WA 98666

DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT
DEF 01 MEASE, NICOLA

ATY 02 FRITZLER, JON
717 E 22ND ST STE A
VANCOUVER WA 98663-3273
Work Phone: 3608184431

DEF 02 MEASE, JOHN DOE

TITLE

DCS FINANCIAL DBA DIVERSIFIED CREDITORS SVC VS MEASE

Filed: 05/09/2013 Cause: Goods and Services DV: Amount:
18,337.63

TEXT

U 05/09/2013	SCANNED: SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT		BXT
S 05/10/2013	13130100773 CIV FILING FEE Received	43.00	ECH
	Paid by: DCS FINANCIAL INC		
	13130100773 DISPUTE RES CV Received	10.00	
	Paid by: DCS FINANCIAL INC		
	13130100773 JUDSTABLSUR-CLJ Received	30.00	
	Paid by: DCS FINANCIAL INC		
05/20/2013	Case Filed on 05/09/2013		BXT
	PLA 1 DCS FINANCIAL INCORPORATED Added as Participant		
	DEF 1 MEASE, NICOLA Added as Participant		
	DEF 2 MEASE, JOHN DOE Added as Participant		
	ATY 1 FRITZLER, RANDAL BRANDT Added as Participant		
	DBA 1 DIVERSIFIED CREDITORS SERVICE Added as Participant		
	DBA 1 DIVERSIFIED CREDITORS SERVICE Represented by:		
	ATY 1 FRITZLER, RANDAL BRANDT		
	PLA 1 DCS FINANCIAL INCORPORATED Represented by:		
	ATY 1 FRITZLER, RANDAL BRANDT		
	DBA 1 DIVERSIFIED CREDITORS SERVICE Linked to		
	PLA 1 DCS FINANCIAL INCORPORATED		
U 06/24/2013	SCANNED: PROOF OF SERVICE		SMB
S 07/26/2013	13207100757 CV OTH COST FEE Received	20.00	SES
	Paid by: DCS FINANCIAL INC.		
U	SCANNED: MOT/AFF FOR DEFAULT		KLB
S	Judgment 1 Default Judgment entered by Judge DJZ		
	Principal :	18,287.63	
	Interest :	3,327.56	
	Reas aty fee:	200.00	
	Filing Fee :	103.00	
	Service :	31.50	
	Total Judgment Amount	21,949.69	
	Balance Due	21,949.69	
	for DBA 1 DIVERSIFIED CREDITORS SERVICE		
	for PLA 1 DCS FINANCIAL INCORPORATED		
	agnst DEF 1 MEASE, NICOLA		
	agnst DEF 2 MEASE, JOHN DOE		

Docket continued on next page

DD7010SX ADB
05/10/2023 8:11 AMCLARK COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
D O C K E T

PAGE: 2

CASE: 13C3074-2
CivilPLAINTIFF/PETITIONER
PLA 01 DCS FINANCIAL INCORPORATEDDEFENDANT/RESPONDENT
DEF 01 MEASE, NICOLA

TEXT - Continued

U 07/26/2013	SCANNED: DJ - DEFAULT JUDGMENT	KLB
08/30/2013	SCANNED: APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF GARNISHMENT	SMB
	SCANNED: WRIT OF GARNISHMENT	
S 09/03/2013	13246100111 WRIT/GARN FEES Received	12.00 ECH
	Paid by: DCS FINANCIAL, INC	
	GDF 1 CDM SERVICES Added as Participant	SMB
	GDF 1 CDM SERVICES Linked to	
	DEF 1 MEASE, NICOLA	
U 10/02/2013	SCANNED: FIRST ANSWER TO WRIT OF GARN	
10/04/2013	SCANNED: PROOF OF SERVICE-GARNISHMENT	
S 12/11/2013	13345100352 CV OTH COST FEE Received	20.00 SES
	Paid by: DCS FINANCIAL INC.	
U 08/01/2014	SCANNED: SECOND ANSWER TO WRIT OF GARN	MLJ
08/05/2014	SCANNED: OTP - JUDGMENT & ORDER TO PAY	EDS
S 08/27/2014	14239101675 WRIT/GARN FEES Received	12.00 SES
	Paid by: DCS FINANCIAL INC.	
08/28/2014	GDF 2 BANK OF AMERICA Added as Participant	KLB
U	SCANNED: APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF GARNISHMENT	
	SCANNED: WRIT OF GARNISHMENT	
09/22/2014	SCANNED: ANSWER TO WRIT OF GARN	
	SCANNED: PG - PROOF OF SERVICE-GARNISHMENT	MLJ
S 10/15/2014	14288102406 CV OTH COST FEE Received	20.00 SES
	Paid by: DCS FINANCIAL INC.	
U 10/17/2014	SCANNED: ANSWER TO WRIT OF GARN	MLJ
	SCANNED: AM- AFF/DECL/CERT OF MAILING	
10/21/2014	SCANNED: OTP - JUDGMENT & ORDER TO PAY	EDS
08/04/2015	SCANNED: NTW - NOTC OF WITHDRWL/SUBSTITUTION OF ATTY	KLB
S 08/06/2015	ATY 2 FRITZLER, JON Added as Participant	
	ATY 1 FRITZLER, RANDAL BRANDT Wthdrw as Atty for:	
	DBA 1 DIVERSIFIED CREDITORS SERVICE	
	DBA 1 DIVERSIFIED CREDITORS SERVICE Represented by:	
	ATY 2 FRITZLER, JON	
	ATY 1 FRITZLER, RANDAL BRANDT Wthdrw as Atty for:	
	PLA 1 DCS FINANCIAL INCORPORATED	
	PLA 1 DCS FINANCIAL INCORPORATED Represented by:	
	ATY 2 FRITZLER, JON	
02/09/2022	22040100260 WRIT/GARN FEES Received	12.00 AHE
	Paid by: ADAMS, ROBIN	
U 02/14/2022	SCANNED: APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF GARNISHMENT	BRE
	SCANNED: WRIT OF GARNISHMENT	
S 02/17/2022	GDF 3 COLUMBIA BRANDS USA, LLC Added as Participant	
U 03/07/2022	SCANNED: ANSWER TO WRIT OF GARN	LZC
	SCANNED: AM- AFF/DECL/CERT OF MAILING-GARN	BRE
03/14/2022	SCANNED: FIRST ANSWER TO WRIT OF GARN	
03/21/2022	SCANNED: ANSWER TO WRIT OF GARN-EXMPTN CALCULATION	LZC
04/04/2022	SCANNED: ANSWER TO WRIT OF GARN-EXEMPTION CALC	
04/18/2022	SCANNED: ANSWER TO WRIT OF GARN-WAGE EXEMPTION CALC	
05/23/2022	SCANNED: SECOND ANSWER TO WRIT OF GARN	

Docket continued on next page

DD7010SX ADB
05/10/2023 8:11 AM

CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT COURT
D O C K E T

PAGE: 3

CASE: 13C3074-2
Civil

PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER
PLA 01 DCS FINANCIAL INCORPORATED

DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT
DEF 01 MEASE, NICOLA

TEXT - Continued

S 06/08/2022 22159100329 CV OTH COST FEE Received 20.00 SES
Paid by: ADAMS, ROBIN
U SCANNED: SECOND ANSWER TO WRIT OF GARN BRE
S 06/13/2022 MTN OTP Set for 06/13/2022 08:00 AM
in Room X with Judge EXP
06/14/2022 MTN OTP Rescheduled to 06/14/2022 08:00 AM
in Room X with Judge EXP
MTN OTP: Held LZC
U SCANNED: OTP - JUDGMENT & ORDER TO PAY
05/08/2023 REQUEST FOR TRANSCRIPT OF JUDGMENT ADB
S 05/09/2023 23129100207 PREP TRANSCRIPT Received 20.00 KAK
Paid by: ADAMS, ROBIN

ACCOUNTING SUMMARY

	Total Due	Paid	Balance
Judgment 01	21,949.69		21,949.69

ADDITIONAL CASE DATA

Case Disposition
Disposition: OPEN

Judgments

01 Default Judgment 07/26/2013 by DJZ

Parties

Attorney	FRITZLER, RANDAL BRANDT
Doing Business As	DIVERSIFIED CREDITORS SERVICE
Garnishee Defendant	CDM SERVICES
	BANK OF AMERICA
	COLUMBIA BRANDS USA, LLC

Hearing Summary

Held MTN JDG/ORDR TO PAY ON 06/14/2022 AT 08:00 AM IN ROOM X WITH EXP

End of docket report for this case

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF CLARK COUNTY

IN THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

DCS FINANCIAL, INC., DBA)	
DIVERSIFIED CREDITORS SERVICE))	Case #13C3074-2
)	
Plaintiff/s)	
v.s.)	
)	
MEASE, NICOLA)	ORDER OF DEFAULT
MEASE, JOHN DOE)	AND JUDGMENT
WIFE AND HUSBAND)	
Defendant/s)	

JUDGMENT SUMMARY

The following is recited to be in compliance with RCW 4.64.030:

1. Judgment Creditor: DCS FINANCIAL, INC., DBA
DIVERSIFIED CREDITORS SERVICE
2. Judgment Debtor: MEASE, NICOLA
MEASE, JOHN DOE
WIFE AND HUSBAND
3. Principal Judgment Amount: \$18337.63
4. Interest to Date of Judgment: \$3327.56
5. Attorney Fees: \$ 200.00
6. Costs: a. Filing Fees: \$103.00
b. Service Fees: \$31.50
7. Handling and Collection Costs & Damages: \$ 0.00
8. Less Payments of: \$ 50.00
9. Principal judgment shall bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum.
10. Attorney for
Judgment Creditor: Randal Fritzler
HOUSE COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF
717 E 22nd St., Suite A
Vancouver, WA 98663

L794784 P1027034
JUDGMENT SUMMARY

#13C3074-2 Pg 1

DCS Financial, Inc.
717 E 22nd St Suite A
PO Box 1179
Vancouver, WA 98666
(360) 992-4100

11. Attorney for Judgment Debtor:

ORDER

THIS MATTER coming on for Order of Default and Judgment on the application of Plaintiff, proof having been made that the Summons was issued requiring the Defendants to appear and defend this action prior to this date and that said Summons, together with a true copy of the Complaint, was duly and timely served upon the Defendant within the time and in the manner and form as required, said Defendants being in default herein, and it appearing that the above entitled county is the proper venue for this action and that the Court has jurisdiction of the parties; and said action being an action for money only, the Court hereby enters its order as follows:

THAT JUDGMENT is entered against the Defendants, as follows:

1. Principal Amounts: \$18337.63
2. Interest to date of Judgment: \$3327.56
3. Attorney Fees: \$ 200.00
4. Costs: Filing Fees: \$103.00
Service Fees: \$31.50
5. Handling and Collection Costs & Damages: \$ 0.00
6. Less Payments of: \$ 50.00

For a total accumulation of \$21949.69

THAT JUDGMENT SHALL FURTHER bear interest at the rate of twelve percent (12%) per annum for the date hereof, until paid and satisfied by the Defendants.

Dated this _____ day of _____, 20____.

Digitally signed by user
'zimmermd'

Reason:

Date: 07/26/2013

02:00:13 PM

J U D G E

Randal Fritzler
WSBA 6093
HOUSE COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF